

## DELIVERABLE

**Project Acronym:** AFE INNOVNET

**Grant Agreement number:** 620978

**Project Title:** Thematic Network for age-friendly environments in the European Union

### D5.8 –Collection of notable practices for inclusion in repository: short report on activities

**Revision:** Final

**Author:**

- Menno Hinkema (TNO)

**Dissemination level:** Public

Project Co-funded by the European Commission within the ICT policy Support Programme

## Revision History

Revision	Date	Author	Organisation	Description
1	19 Jan. 2016	Julia Wadoux	AGE	Additional information and final editing

### Statement of originality:

This deliverable contains original unpublished work except where clearly indicated otherwise. Acknowledgement of previously published material and of the work of others has been made through appropriate citation, quotation or both.

## 1. Introduction

Deliverable 5.8 foreseen under Work Package 5 of the AFE-INNOVNET project concerns the collection and publication of notable practices in the AFE INNOVNET repository.

The deliverable has been marked as a Report in the work plan for the project. This may engender some confusion, as the main effort has obviously gone on the actual collection and publication of notable practices. The current report aims for no more than a short account of the effort involved in attaining the current number of notable practices.

The state of play of collection of notable practices up to the time of the mid-term review has been documented in the progress report produced by the consortium for that review, and has been the subject of animated discussion during that review, as documented in the findings letter (dated 11<sup>th</sup> of May 2015, identifier CNECT H2/HK/av) sent by the European Commission. Readers are referred to these documents for the account of year 1.

## 2. State of play at the end of year one

As noted in the interim progress report, at the end of year 1 (January 29<sup>th</sup> 2015) the repository contained 37 notable practices. The discussions during the mid-term review focused on the following items:

- The start of collection of notable practices was later than foreseen in the original work plan. Contributing factors from the viewpoint of the WP5 leader TNO have been:
  - o The need for coordination of its structure with other relevant projects, notably the WHO AFEE project and the initiatives on the development of an EIP AHA repository.
  - o Considerable effort required to produce a working beta-version and fix various technical glitches.
  - o The felt need to do proper testing of the repository before going really live.
- Possible in relation with the previous point, the number reached fell short of the interim indicator of 50 notable practices by end of year 1.
- The quality of notable practices was very variable, and the reviewers noted that the majority of notable practices were submitted by only a few countries and, local and regional authorities part of the consortium members.

These items have been taken on board in the efforts towards extending the number of notable practices allocated in the second year of the project.

### 3. Summary of effort in year 2

On the basis of the state of play at the end of year 1, it was agreed that the main drivers for the effort in year 2 should be:

1. Increase the number of notable practices from other countries, consortium members and network members, focusing on new, better quality material.
2. Improve the representation and visibility in the repository of work being done by members of the D4 Action Group of the EIP on AHA. The AFE INNOVNET project was originally designed to link with, to support and to take further the work done in that Action Group. It was judged probable that inclusion of more D4 material would address an apparent gap noted by the reviewers, namely that countries they knew to have relevant notable practices were not represented in the repository.

Under 1, the following measures were implemented:

- The collection of notable practices was regularly raised as an issue needing attention during teleconferences and other on-line meetings of the project Steering Group, as well as during the project's consortium meetings and workshops.
- A call was sent out to the entire AFE INNOVNET network calling for and encouraging the provision of notable practices to the repository.
- All member organisations of the Steering Group and their relevant staff followed this through reaching out to their personal network contacts within and directly relevant to the AFE INNOVNET network, reinforcing the call and offering encouragement and explanation of the rationale.
- Consortium member Alzheimer Europe facilitated a call for notable practices to stakeholders in its international network. This addressed the importance of inclusion in the repository of notable practices targeting improved independence, quality of life and social participation for people with dementia.
- Consortium member ESN was found willing to put out a call to relevant organisations in its network.

With regard to driver 2, communications were instigated with the EC officials assigned to the D4 Action Group from DGs SANTE and CNECT. The idea was received positively, with the only proviso being that this should not lead to unmanageable problems with privacy and informed consent. Communication from the EC in May 2015 assured that the D4 material would become available. Unfortunately, despite communication over the intervening period, no notable practice material has been made available thus far. Therefore the exact number of notable practices to become available is also unknown. However, on the basis of

their knowledge and experience of the D4 Action Group, the Steering Group project members consider it a safe assumption that the D4 material should contain at least several dozen relevant notable practice projects.

During 2015, the EC and the PROEIPAHA project spent considerable effort in developing an EIP AHA wide repository, informed by the Scaling Up Strategy presented by the EC in 2014. The AFE INNOVNET project contributed substantially to this development. Its methodological contribution is documented in the short report on Deliverable 5.4. Additionally, AFE INNOVNET helped kick-start the EIP AHA repository through uploading of circa 70 notable practices then in the AFE INNOVNET repository.

As a result of the effort described under 1, the tally of notable practices on January 28<sup>th</sup> of 2016 stands at 87. Relative to the state of play at the end of the first year, action under 1 alone has resulted in the pace of growth of the repository answering the expectations in the work plan, with an emphasis on new, original material. The actions under 1 have further resulted in a more active contribution from the LRA members of the AFE INNOVNET consortium, in line with the staff export expected from them in contributing to this deliverable.

Due to developments under 2, the inclusion of an expected several dozen selected notable practices from the D4 Action Group has so far not been possible. As and when the EC secures their availability, the basic level of activity foreseen for the Covenant after project end, ensures that upload and publication of these notable practices will be possible.

#### 4. Selected tables

Country of origin	Number of notable practices
Austria	1
Belgium	6
Czech Republic	2
Denmark	2
Estonia	5
Finland	11
France	7
Germany	1
Greece	1
Iceland	1
Ireland	1
Israel	1
Italy	2
Latvia	3
Norway	1
Poland	7
Portugal	1
Slovenia	8
Spain	14
Sweden	3
The Netherlands	4
United Kingdom	5

Status of the notable practice	
Planned	2
On-going	60
Completed	21
Unspecified	4

Type of initiative	
Private	6
Public	45
Public-private partnership	26
Unspecified	10

Geographical scope	
Local	27
Regional	26
National	11
European	18

<b>Total budget</b>	
€ 0-10.000	23
€ 10.000 - € 50.000	9
€ 50.000 - € 100.000	2
€ 100.000 - € 500.000	13
€ 500.000 - € 1.000.000	4
> € 1.000.000	25
Unspecified	10

<b>Number of people reached</b>	
0-25	1
25-100	11
100-250	4
250-1.000	22
1.000-10.000	11
10.000-100.000	11
>100.000	11
Unspecified	14

<b>Most important funding source</b>	
EU funding	16
For profit	2
Local funding	25
National funding	7
Not-for-profit	7
Regional	7
Other	11
Unspecified	12